

Table 2: Mandatory Technical Evaluation Criteria

	Mandatory Technical Criteria Description	Reference to Technical Specification / Tender Returnable	Motivation for use of Criteria
1.	ISO 3834 – part 2 Certification	ISO 3834 – part 2 certificate to be submitted. (Certificate need to issued by an accredited auditor)	To ensure compliance with quality requirements for welding.
2.	UCLF of less than 0.5% for at least one month. In the past ten years.	< 0.5% UCLF contribution (Customer satisfaction letter with generation reports from Customer confirming less than 0.5% UCLF contribution)	To ensure milling plant availability and reliability.

Please note that all documentation and/or certification provided for the above should be in the tendering companies name.

I would like to get clarification for tender number: E1894GXMPCAMR under the mandatory technical criteria for number 2. “UCLF of less than 0.5% for at least one month. In the past ten years” this description is specifically for Eskom, we do want to bid for the tender, however our UCLF is from another entity and not Eskom. I wanted to find out if that would disqualify us? Even though we have it, but it is not specific to Eskom.

Good Day All,

A customer satisfaction letter stating that a UCLF (Percentage of availability versus the required running time) of <0.5% was achieved for a month in the past 10 years, the letter needs to be from the company the maintenance service was provided to and verifiable contact details. Letter should clearly state for which mill (Vertical or tube) the maintenance was carried on.

Table 3: Qualitative Technical Evaluation Criteria

	Qualitative Technical Criteria Description	Reference to Technical Specification / Tender Returnable	Criteria Sub Description	Criteria Wt (%)	Criteria Sub Wt (%)	Score Scale			
						Floor 0=0%	Kick in 2=40%	Average 4=80%	Ceiling 5=100%
1.	Company Experience	More than 10 years working experience as the main contractor on Vertical spindle roller/tyre mills (The Total Duration will be evaluated as provided from orders/contracts)	Orders/Contracts of (Maintenance of Vertical spindle roller/tyre mills) as the main contractor	30	100	No submission / Total Durations of previous (Maintenance of Vertical spindle roller/tyre mills)contract (0-5 years)	Total Durations of previous (Maintenance of Vertical spindle roller/tyre mills)contract (6-8 years)	Total Durations of previous (Maintenance of Vertical spindle roller/tyre mills)contract (9-10 years)	Total Durations of previous (Maintenance of Vertical spindle roller/tyre mills)contract (9-10 years)

Good Day Colleagues,

- **Both the “Average (4 = 80%)” and “Ceiling (5 = 100%)” scoring descriptions indicate 9–10 years of previous experience required. Could you kindly confirm whether this is correct, or if there is a distinction intended between these two scoring levels?**

The response to the question below is that there is a typo on the scoring.

5 = More than 10 years milling plant experience

4 = 9 to 10 years' experience.

The technical evaluation strategy will be updated as such.

- **The current Score Scale provides ratings for 0%, 40%, 80%, and 100%. Could you please clarify the calculation or rationale behind these thresholds, as there is no provision for a 20% (Low) or 60% (Intermediate) score level?**

As per our technical evaluation process, we are not allowed to score 20% or 60%.

Regards